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#### Abstract

A graph G is said to be an unique isolated signed dominating function (UISDF) if $f: V(G) \rightarrow$ $\{-1,+1\}$, there exists exactly one vertex $w \in V(G)$ with $f(N[w])=+1$. The unique isolated signed domination number $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)$, is the minimum weight of an UISDF of the graph G. Some properties of unique isolated signed dominating function of some disconnected graphs and special graphs were presented in this paper.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper, only finite graphs, simple graphs and undirected graphsare considered for presenting. The set of vertices is denoted by $V(G)$, edges is denoted by $E(G)$ and thus graph $G$ is denoted by $G=(V(G), E(G)))$. The notations are followed by Harary [3] for a general reference on graph theory.

Let the open neighborhood of any vertex, $v \in V(G)$ is $N_{G}(v)=\{u \in V(G): u v \in$ $E(G)\}$ Let the closed neighborhood of any vertex, $v \in V(G)$ is $N_{G}[v]=\{v\} \cup N(v)$. Then, the degree of $v$ is $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)=\left|N_{G}(v)\right|$. The minimum degree of $G$ is defined by $\delta(G)=$ $\min _{v \in V(G)}\{\operatorname{deg}(v)\}$ and the maximum degree of $G$ is defined by $\Delta(G)=\max _{v \in V(G)}\{\operatorname{deg}(v)\}$. Any vertex $v \in V(G)$ of degree one is called as a pendent vertex. A vertex $v \in V(G)$ adjacent to any pendent vertex is called as a stem.

A dominating function of any graph $G$ is a function $f: V(G) \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ if for every vertex $v \in V(G), f(N[v]) \geq 1$ [4].

In 1995, the concept of signed dominating function in graphs is defined by J.E.Dunbar et al. [2]. A signed dominating function of any $G$, is defined by a function $f: V(G) \rightarrow\{-1,+1\}$ , if for every vertex $v \in V(G), f(N[v]) \geq 1$. The minimum weight of a signed dominating function is the signed domination number, $\gamma_{s}(G)$ [2].

In 2012, Changping Wang [1], used the definition of signed dominating function and introduced a new domination parameter as signed $k$-dominating function. For any integer $k \geq$

1 , a signed $k$-dominating function is defined as a function $f: V(G) \rightarrow\{-1,+1\}$ satisfying $\sum_{w \in N[v]} f(w) \geq k$ for every $v \in V(G)$. The signed $k$-domination number is the minimum of the values of $\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$ taken over all signed $k$-dominating functions $f$, denoted by $\gamma_{k s}(G)$.

In 2016, the concept of isolate domination is introduced by Hameed and Balamurugan [7]. An isolate dominating set is a dominating set $S$ of a graph $G$, if $\langle S\rangle$ has at least one isolated vertex [7]. An isolate dominating set $S$ is said to be minimal if no proper subset of $S$ is an isolate dominating set. The minimum cardinality of a minimal isolate dominating set of $G$ is called as the isolate domination number $\gamma_{0}(G)$ and the maximum cardinality of a minimal isolate dominating set of $G$ is called as the upper isolate domination number $\Gamma_{0}(G)$.

In 2019, S. Rishitha Dayana and S. Chandra Kumar [6], introduced a new domination parameter called isolated signed dominating function. An isolated signed dominating function (ISDF) of any graph $G$ is defined as a signed dominating function such that $f(N[w])=+1$ for at least one vertex $w$. The weight of $f$ is the sum of the values $f(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$ and is denoted by $w(f)$. An isolated signed domination number $\gamma_{i s}(G)$, is the minimum weight of an ISDF of $G$.

In continuation to isolated signed dominating function (ISDF), we introduced a new parameter in signed domination known as unique isolated signed dominating function(UISDF) in graphs. An UISDF of a graph $G$ is a signed dominating function such that there exists exactly one vertex $w \in V(G)$ with $f(N[w])=+1$. The minimum weight of an UISDF of $G$ is the unique isolated signed domination number of $G, \gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)$. In this paper, some properties of UISDF and unique isolated signed domination number of some graphs were presented.

## 2 Main Results

Lemma 1. For any graph $G$, we have $\gamma_{s}(G) \leq \gamma_{i s}(G) \leq \gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)$.
Proof. Since every ISDF is a SDF and every UISDF is an ISDF, we have $\gamma_{s}(G) \leq$ $\gamma_{i s}(G) \leq \gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)$.

Definition 2. [1] For any integer $k \geq 1$, a signed $k$-dominating function is defined as a function $f: V(G) \rightarrow\{-1,+1\}$ satisfying $\sum_{w \in N[v]} f(w) \geq k$ for every vertex $v \in V(G)$. The signed $k$-domination number, $\gamma_{k s}(G)$ is the minimum of the values of $\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v)$ taken over all signed $k$-dominating functions $f$.

Theorem 3. Let $n \geq 2$ be any integer and $G$ be a disconnected graph with $n$ components such that the first $r(\geq 1)$ components admit UISDF. Then $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)=\min _{1 \leq i \leq r}\left\{t_{i}\right\}$, where $t_{i}=\gamma_{i s}^{u}\left(G_{i}\right)+\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} \gamma_{2 s}\left(G_{j}\right)$.

Proof. Let $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{n}$ be the graphs with $n$ components and $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{r}$ be the components which admit UISDF. With out loss of generality, let us assume that $t_{1}=\min _{1 \leq i \leq r}\left\{t_{i}\right\}$.

Let us assume that $f_{1}$ as minimum UISDF of $G_{1}$ and $f_{i}$ be the minimum S2DF of $G_{i}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Then $f: V(G) \rightarrow\{-1,+1\}$ is defined by $f(x)=f_{i}(x), x \in V\left(G_{i}\right)$, is an UISDF of $G$ with weight $\gamma_{i s}^{u}\left(G_{1}\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \gamma_{2 s}\left(G_{i}\right) \quad$ and $\quad$ so $\quad \gamma_{i s}^{u}(G) \leq \gamma_{i s}^{u}\left(G_{1}\right)+$ $\sum_{i=2}^{n} \gamma_{2 s}\left(G_{i}\right)=t_{1}$.

Let us assume that $g$ as minimum UISDF of $G$. Then there exists an integer $j$ such that $\left.g\right|_{G_{j}}$ is a minimum UISDF of $G_{j}$ for some $j$ with $1 \leq j \leq r$. Also for each $i$ with $1 \leq$ $i \leq n(i \neq j),\left.\quad g\right|_{G_{i}}$ is a minimum S2DF of $G_{i}$. Therefore $w(g) \geq \gamma_{i s}^{u}\left(G_{j}\right)+$ $\sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{n} \gamma_{2 s}\left(G_{i}\right)=t_{j} \geq t_{1}$ and hence $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)=\min _{1 \leq i \leq r}\left\{t_{i}\right\}$.

Theorem 4. Let $H$ be any graph of order $n$ which does not admit UISDF. Then $G=$ $H \cup r K_{1}(r \geq 1)$ admit UISDF if and only if $r=1$. In this case $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)=1+\gamma_{2 s}(H)$

Proof. Suppose there exists an UISDF of $G$, say ' $f$ '. Let $V(G)=V(H) \cup V\left(r K_{1}\right)$, where $V(H)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}$ and $V\left(r K_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{i}: i=1,2, \ldots, r\right\}$. Suppose $r \geq 2$. Then the vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ must have +1 sign. In this case, $f\left(N\left[v_{1}\right]\right)=f\left(N\left[v_{2}\right]\right)=+1$, which is a contradiction to $f$ is UISDF. Thus $r=1$.

Coversely, suppose $r=1$. Let us define a function $f: V(G) \rightarrow\{-1,+1\}$ by $f(u)=$ 1 for all $u \in V(G)$. Then $f\left(N\left[v_{1}\right]\right)=1$ and $f\left(N\left[u_{i}\right]\right) \geq 2$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. The graph $G$ admit UISDF.

By taking $r=1$ in Theorem 3, we can have $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)=1+\gamma_{2 s}(H)$.
Lemma 5. Any odd regular graph $G$ does not admit UISDF.
Proof. Since $|N[v]|$ is even for all $v \in V(G), f(N[v]) \neq 1$ for any UISDF $f: V \rightarrow$ $\{-1,+1\}$ and for any vertex $v \in V(G)$.

Definition 6. [4] A set $S$ is a $k$-dominating set if for every vertex $v \in V(G)-S$, $|N(u) \cap S| \geq k$. The $k$-domination number, $\gamma_{k}(G)$ is the minimum weight of a $k$-dominating set.

Theorem 7. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ which admits UISDF. Then $2 \gamma_{2}(G)-n \leq \gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)$.

Proof. Let $f$ be a minimum UISDF of $G, V^{+}=\{u \in V: f(u)=+1\}$ and $V^{-}=\{v \in$ $V: f(v)=-1\}$. If $V^{-}=\phi$, then $G$ does not admit UISDF. Thus $V^{-} \neq \phi$.

If $w \in V^{-}$, then $w$ has at least two neighbors in $V^{+}$. Therefore the graph $G, V^{+}$is a 2-dominating set for $G$ and so $\left|V^{+}\right| \geq \gamma_{2}(G)$. Since $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)=\left|V^{+}\right|-\left|V^{-}\right|$and $n=\left|V^{+}\right|+$ $\left|V^{-}\right|$, we have $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)=2\left|V^{+}\right|-n$. Thus $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G) \geq 2 \gamma_{2}(G)-n$.

Theorem 8. For any graph $G$, maximum degree $\Delta$ and minimum degree $\delta$, then $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G) \geq \frac{2+(\delta-\Delta) n}{\Delta+\delta+2}$.

Proof. Let $f$ be a minimum UISDF of $G$. Since $\left|V^{+}\right|+\left|V^{-}\right|=n$ and $\left|V^{+}\right|-\left|V^{-}\right|=$ $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)$, we get $\left|V^{+}\right|=\frac{n+\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)}{2}$ and $\left|V^{-}\right|=\frac{n-\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)}{2}$. By definition of UISDF of $G, f(N[v]) \geq$ 1 for all $v \in V(G)$. Then $\sum_{v \in V(G)}(d(v)+1) f(v) \geq 1$. Therefore, $\sum_{v \in V^{+}}(d(v)) f(v)+$ $\sum_{v \in V^{-}}(d(v)) f(v)+\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G) \geq 1$. That is, $\Delta\left|V^{+}\right|-\delta\left|V^{-}\right|+\gamma_{i s}(G) \geq 1$ and so $\frac{\left(n+\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)\right) \Delta}{2}-$ $\frac{\left(n-\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)\right) \delta}{2}+\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G) \geq 1$. Hence $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G) \geq \frac{2+(\delta-\Delta) n}{\Delta+\delta+2}$.

Proposition 9. For an integer $n \geq 3$, the cycle $G=C_{n}$ does not admit UISDF.
Proof. Let $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and $E(G)=\left\{v_{i} v_{i+1} / 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\} \cup\left\{v_{n} v_{1}\right\}$. Suppose there exist an UISDF of $G$, say ' $f$ '. Suppose $f\left(v_{i}\right)=-1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$, without loss of generality, let it be $v_{2}$. Since $N\left[v_{2}\right]=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$ and $f\left(N\left[v_{2}\right]\right)=1$, we
must have $f\left(v_{1}\right)=f\left(v_{3}\right)=1$. Since $N\left[v_{3}\right]=\left\{v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}\right\}$ and $f\left(N\left[v_{3}\right]\right) \geq 1$, we must have $f\left(v_{4}\right)=1$. In this case $f\left(N\left[v_{i}\right]\right)=1$, for $i=2$ and $i=3$, a contradiction to the definition of UISDF.

Thus $f\left(v_{i}\right)=1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and so $f(N[u])=3$ for all $u \in V(G)$, a contradiction to the definition of UISDF.

Proposition 10. For any integer, $n \geq 2$, the graph $G=P_{n}$ does not admit UISDF.
Proof. Let $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and $E(G)=\left\{v_{i} v_{i+1} / 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}$. Suppose there exists an UISDF of $G$, say ' $f$ '. Suppose $f\left(v_{i}\right)=-1$ for some $i$ with $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. Then $f\left(N\left[v_{i-1}\right]\right)=f\left(N\left[v_{i-1}\right]\right)=1$, a contradiction.

Suppose $f\left(v_{1}\right)=-1$ or $f\left(v_{n}\right)=-1$, then $f\left(N\left[v_{1}\right]\right)<1$ or $f\left(N\left[v_{n}\right]\right)<1$ respectively, a contradiction.

Thus $f\left(v_{i}\right)=1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, so $f(N[u]) \geq 2$ for all $u \in V(G)$, a contradiction to the definition of UISDF.

Definition 11. [5] $P_{n}^{(2)+}$ is a graph obtained from $P_{n}$ by joining the internal vertices $v$ to the one end $v_{1}$ such that $d\left(v, v_{1}\right)$ is even. Then number of vertices is $n$ and the number of edges is $n-1+\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rfloor$.

Lemma 12. Let $n \geq 5$ be an odd integer. Then the graph $G=P_{n}^{(2)+}$ admits UISDF with $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)=n-2$.

Proof. Let $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ be the vertex set of $G$. Let $f$ be an UISDF. Then $f(N[v]) \geq 1$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

Suppose $f\left(v_{1}\right)=-1$, then $f\left(N\left[v_{2}\right]\right)=f\left(N\left[v_{n}\right]\right)=1$, a contradiction.
Suppose $f\left(v_{n}\right)=-1$, then $f\left(N\left[v_{n}\right]\right)=f\left(N\left[v_{n-1}\right]\right)=1$, a contradiction.
Suppose $f\left(v_{i}\right)=-1$ for some $i=3,5, \ldots, n-2$, then $f\left(N\left[v_{i-1}\right]\right)=f\left(N\left[v_{i+1}\right]\right)=$ 1, a contradiction.

Suppose $f\left(v_{i}\right)=f\left(v_{j}\right)=-1$ for some $i \neq j, i, j \in\{2,4, \ldots, n-1\}$. In this case, $f\left(N\left[v_{i}\right]\right)=f\left(N\left[v_{j}\right]\right)=1$, a contradiction.

Therefore $f(v)=-1$ for a maximum of one vertex in $G$. Thus $w(f)=n-2$ and so $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G) \geq n-2$.

Let us define a function $f: V(G) \rightarrow\{-1,+1\}$ as follows:

$$
f\left(v_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}-1 & \text { when } i=2 \\ +1 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

In this case, $f\left(N\left[v_{2}\right]\right)=1$ and $f\left(N\left[v_{i}\right]\right) \geq 2$ for all $i \neq 2$. Thus $f$ is an UISDF with $w(f)=n-2$ and hence $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G) \leq n-2$.

Lemma 13. Let $n \geq 6$ be an even integer. Then the graph $G=P_{n}^{(2)+}$ admits UISDF with $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)=n-2$.

Proof. Let $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ be the vertex set of $G$. Let $f$ be an UISDF. Then $f(N[v]) \geq 1$ for all $v \in V(G)$. Suppose $f\left(v_{n-1}\right)=f\left(v_{n}\right)=-1$, then $f\left(N\left[v_{n}\right]\right)=0$, a contradiction.

Suppose $f\left(v_{i}\right)=-1$ for some $i=3,5, \ldots, n-3$, then $f\left(N\left[v_{i-1}\right]\right)=f\left(N\left[v_{i+1}\right]\right)=$ 1 , a contradiction.

Suppose $f\left(v_{i}\right)=f\left(v_{j}\right)=-1$ for some $i \neq j, i, j \in\{2,4, \ldots, n-2\}$, then $f\left(N\left[v_{i}\right]\right)=f\left(N\left[v_{j}\right]\right)=1$, a contradiction.

Suppose $f\left(v_{1}\right)=-1$.
Case 1: If $f\left(v_{2}\right)=-1$, then $f\left(N\left[v_{2}\right]\right) \leq-1$, a contradiction.
Case 2: If $f\left(v_{i}\right)=-1$ for some $i \in\{4,6, \ldots, n-2\}$, then $f\left(N\left[v_{2}\right]\right)=f\left(N\left[v_{i}\right]\right)=1$, a contradiction.

Therefore $f(v)=-1$ for a maximum of one vertex in $G$. Thus $w(f)=n-2$ and so $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G) \geq n-2$.

Let us define a function $f: V(G) \rightarrow\{-1,+1\}$ as follows:

$$
f\left(v_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}-1 & \text { when } i=1 \\ +1 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

In this case, $f\left(N\left[v_{2}\right]\right)=1$ and $f\left(N\left[v_{i}\right]\right) \geq 2$ for all $i \neq 2$. Thus $f$ is an UISDF with $w(f)=n-2$ and hence $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G) \leq n-2$.

From Lemma 12 and Lemma 13, we can have the following theorem.
Theorem 14. Let $n \geq 5$ be an integer. Then the graph $G=P_{n}^{(2)+}$ admits UISDF with $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)=n-2$.

Remark 15. Let $G$ be a graph which admits UISDF. If $u \in V(G)$ and $u$ is a pendent vertex adjecent to another vertex ' $w$ '. Then $f(u)=f(w)=+1$ (otherwise $f(N[u]) \leq 0)$.

Definition 16. [5] The graph $\left\langle K_{1, m}: K_{1, n}\right\rangle$ is a graph obtained from a $(m, n)$ bistar by subdividing the middle edge with a new vertex (as given in figure : 1). Note that $\left|V\left(\left\langle K_{1, m}: K_{1, n}\right\rangle\right)\right|=m+n+3$ and $\left|E\left(\left\langle K_{1, m}: K_{1, n}\right\rangle\right)\right|=m+n+2$.


Figure 1 : Graph $\left\langle\boldsymbol{K}_{1, m}: K_{1, n}\right\rangle$

Theorem 17 Let $m, n \geq 2$ be integers. Then the graph $G=\left\langle K_{1, m}: K_{1, n}\right\rangle$ admits UISDF with $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)=m+n+1$.

Proof. Consider the graph $G=\left\langle K_{1, m}: K_{1, n}\right\rangle$ with vertex set $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m+n+3}\right\}$ as given in Figure. 1. Let $f$ be an UISDF of $G$. By the definition of UISDF of $G$, there exists exactly one vertex $v \in V(G)$ such that $f(N[v])=1$. By Remark $15, f\left(v_{i}\right)=1$ for all $i \neq$ 1. Thus $f\left(N\left[v_{1}\right]\right)=1$ and so $f\left(v_{1}\right)=-1$. Therefore $w(f)=m+n+1$ and so $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G) \geq$
$m+n+1$.
Let us define a function $f: V(G) \rightarrow\{-1,+1\}$ by

$$
f\left(v_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}-1 & \text { when } i=1 \\ +1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

From the above labeling, we observe that $f$ is UISDF and $w(f)=m+n+1$. Thus $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G) \leq m+n+1$.

Theorem 18. For any integer $k \geq 3$, there exists a graph $G$ such that $\gamma_{s}(G)=$ $\gamma_{i s}(G)=\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)=k$.

Proof. Case 1: Suppose $k$ is odd. Then $k=2 m+1$ for some $m \geq 1$. Let $G=$ $m K_{2} \cup K_{1}$ be a graph such that $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}^{i}, v_{2}^{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\} \cup\left\{v_{0}\right\}$ and $E(G)=\left\{v_{1}^{i} v_{2}^{i}: 1 \leq\right.$ $i \leq m\}$. Let $f$ be an UISDF of $G$. By Remark $15, f\left(v_{1}^{i}\right)=f\left(v_{2}^{i}\right)=1$. Since $f\left(N\left[v_{0}\right]\right)=1$, we have $f\left(v_{0}\right)=1$, which implies that $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G) \geq 2 m+1=k$. But always $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G) \leq 2 m+$ $1=k$. From Lemma 1, we get $k \leq \gamma_{s}(G) \leq \gamma_{i s}(G) \leq \gamma_{i s}^{u}(G) \leq k$ and hence $\gamma_{s}(G)=$ $\gamma_{i s}(G)=\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)=k$.

Case 2: Suppose $k$ is even. Then $k=2 m$ for some $m \geq 2$.
Let $G=(m-2) K_{2} \cup P_{3} \cup K_{1}$ be a graph such that $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}^{i}, v_{2}^{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m-\right.$ $2\} \cup\left\{v_{j}: 1 \leq j \leq 3\right\} \cup\left\{v_{0}\right\}$ and $E(G)=\left\{v_{1}^{i} v_{2}^{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m-2\right\} \cup\left\{v_{1} v_{v_{2}}, v_{2} v_{3}\right\}$. Let $f$ be an UISDF of $G$. By Remark 15, $f\left(v_{1}^{i}\right)=f\left(v_{2}^{i}\right)=1$ for all $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq m-2$ and $f\left(v_{j}\right)=1$ for $j=1,2,3$. Since $f\left(N\left[v_{0}\right]\right)=1$, we have $f\left(v_{0}\right)=1$. Thus $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G) \geq 2 m=k$. But always $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G) \leq 2 m=k$. From Lemma 1, we get $k \leq \gamma_{s}(G) \leq \gamma_{i s}(G) \leq \gamma_{i s}^{u}(G) \leq k$ and hence $\gamma_{s}(G)=\gamma_{i s}(G)=\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)=k$.

We say a connected graph $H$ as Category 1 if $\gamma_{s}(H)=|V(H)|$.
Theorem 19 Let $G$ be a graph of order $n$. Then $\gamma_{i s}(G)=n$ if and only if $G=$ $\cup_{H \in B} H \cup K_{1}$, where $B$ is the union of some graphs from category 1 .

Proof. Let $f$ be an UISDF. Suppose $G=\mathrm{U}_{H \in B} H \cup K_{1}$, where $B$ is an union of some graphs from category 1 . Then we must have $f(v)=+1$ for all $v \in V(G)$ (Since for each $H \in$ $\left.B,|V(H)|=\gamma_{s}(H) \leq \gamma_{i s}^{u}(H) \leq|V(H)|\right)$.

Conversely, suppose $G=\cup_{H \in B} H \cup K_{1}$, where $B$ is an union of some graphs from category 1. Let $f$ be an UISDF. Let $H$ be any component of $G$ other than $K_{1}$. Suppose $f(u)=-1$ for some $u \in V(H)$. Then $\gamma_{s}(H) \leq \gamma_{i s}^{u}(H) \leq|V(H)|-2$, a contradiction. Thus $f(u)=+1$ for $u \in V(G)$ and hence $w(f)=|V(G)|=n$.

In Theorem 18, it is prove that for integer $k \geq 3$, there exists a disconnected graph $G$ with $\gamma_{s}(G)=\gamma_{i s}(G)=\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)=k$ whereas in the next result we prove that there exists a connected graph $G$ with $\gamma_{s}(G)=\gamma_{i s}(G)=\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)=k$.

Consider the following graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$.


Figure 2 : $\operatorname{Graphs} \boldsymbol{G}_{1}, \boldsymbol{G}_{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}_{3}$.
From the following table :1, it is concluded that the parameter $\gamma_{i s}^{u}\left(G_{i}\right)=\gamma_{s}\left(G_{i}\right)=$ $\gamma_{i s}\left(G_{i}\right)=i+1$.

Table : 1: Values of $\gamma_{i s}^{u}\left(G_{i}\right), \gamma_{s}\left(G_{i}\right) \& \gamma_{i s}\left(G_{i}\right)$

| Parameter | Graphs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $G_{1}$ | $G_{2}$ | $G_{3}$ |
| $\gamma_{s}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| $\gamma_{i s}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| $\gamma_{i s}^{u}$ | 2 | 3 | 4 |

Theorem 20. For any integer $k \geq 5$, there exists a connected graph $G$ such that $\gamma_{s}(G)=\gamma_{i s}(G)=\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)=k$.

Proof. Let $k \geq 5$. Consider the graph $G=\left\langle K_{1, m}: K_{1, n}\right\rangle$ with vertex set $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m+n+3}\right\}$ as given in Figure. $1, m$ and $n$ such that $m, n \geq 2$ and then we can choose $m+n+3=k+2$. From Theorem 18, we can have $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G)=k$.

Let $f$ be an $\operatorname{ISDF}\left(\right.$ or $\operatorname{SDF}$ ) of $G$. Since the vertices $v_{i}, 4 \leq i \leq m+n+3$ are pendent vertices, $f\left(v_{i}\right)=+1$ (otherwise $f\left(N\left[v_{i}\right]\right) \leq 0$, a contradiction).

Since the vertices $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ are stem, $f\left(v_{2}\right)=+1$ and $f\left(v_{3}\right)=+1$ (otherwise $f\left(N\left[v_{4}\right]\right) \leq 0$ or $f\left(N\left[v_{m+n+1}\right]\right) \leq 0$, a contradiction $)$.

In this case, $\gamma_{i s}(G) \geq k$ and $\gamma_{s}(G) \geq k$.
Let us define a function $f: V(G) \rightarrow\{-1,+1\}$ by

$$
f\left(v_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}-1 & \text { when } i=1 \\ +1 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

From the above labeling, we observe that $f$ is $\operatorname{ISDF}(\mathrm{SDF})$ with weight $w(f)=m+n+1=$ $k$. Thus $\gamma_{s}(G) \leq k$ and $\gamma_{i s}^{u}(G) \leq k$.
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